Contemporary Economic Ideological Currents and Their Influence on the Evolution of Law: From Neoliberalism to Sustainability

Lecturer **Cornelia Beatrice Gabriela ENE-DINU**[1]

Abstract

The study analyzes the impact of various current economic ideologies on business law regulations, focusing on ideologies such as neoliberalism, green economy, circular economy, and social economy. The main objective is to explore how these approaches influence legislation and policies in various economic and business sectors. The research employs a mixed methodology, including comparative legal analysis and case studies from different jurisdictions to highlight the variations in the implementation of these ideologies in legislation. Additionally, interviews with experts in the legal and economic fields, as well as an analysis of relevant legislative documents for each ideological current, are used. The results of the study show that neoliberalism promotes deregulation and reduces state intervention in business, which influences the legal framework in favor of a more flexible and competitive business environment. In contrast, the green and circular economies impose strict regulations on sustainability, forcing companies to adopt environmentally responsible business practices. The social economy introduces new norms in business law, emphasizing social responsibility and equity. The implications of this study suggest that the evolution of business law will continue to be deeply influenced by these economic currents, forcing a constant adjustment of regulations to address the new economic and social realities.

Keywords: *current economic ideologies, business law, the green economy, sustenability, new economic and social realities.*

JEL Classification: *K22*

1. Introduction

In a global landscape marked by rapid economic transformations and frequent legislative changes, analyzing the influence of contemporary economic ideologies on law becomes essential. Economic currents such as neoliberalism, green economy, circular economy, and social economy not only reflect diverse schools of thought but also shape the regulatory framework governing economic activities at both national and international levels. This study aims to explore how these ideologies have influenced the evolution of law, with a particular focus on business law, thereby contributing to a better understanding of the interdependence between economics and legislation.

Over recent decades, there has been significant evolution in economic paradigms. Beginning in the 1970[s] and 1980[s], neoliberalism gained popularity, emphasizing deregulation, privatization, and reduced state intervention in the economy. This movement reshaped not only economic policies but also legislation, favoring greater business freedom and reducing regulatory barriers. For instance, in John Williamson's work, the concepts of the "Washington Consensus" highlighted key principles of deregulation and privatization that have influenced numerous business law

[1] Cornelia Beatrice Gabriela Ene-Dinu - Faculty of Law, "Nicolae Titulescu" University of Bucharest, Romania, cdinu@univnt.ro, ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-5924-5876.

regulations[2].

In contrast, the green economy and circular economy have gained ground in response to ecological crises and the need for sustainable development. According to Herman Daly, a pioneer of ecological economics, sustainability-oriented legislation is essential for protecting natural capital and supporting a model of responsible growth[3].

The social economy promotes a different approach, emphasizing social responsibility and collaboration between the public and private sectors. This current is illustrated in research published in the *Review of Social Economy*, highlighting how social enterprises and cooperatives contribute to a fairer economy and to regulations that reflect the interests of vulnerable communities.[4]

This analysis is based on an interdisciplinary approach, combining economic and legal perspectives to assess the influence of these currents on contemporary legislation. The sources used provides detailed perspectives and solid theoretical foundations on the interaction between economic ideologies and law.

The study is organized to cover the most important contemporary economic currents and their influence on law. The next chapter will address neoliberalism and deregulation, analyzing the impact of this current on business legislation and economic regulations. This will be followed by an analysis of the green economy and circular economy, focusing on environmental regulations and adapted legislative initiatives. The final chapter will cover the social economy, followed by a comparison and general analysis of the influence of these currents on law.

2. Neoliberalism and deregulation

Neoliberalism is an economic ideology that emphasizes the importance of free markets, deregulation, and reducing state intervention in the economy. This movement has its roots in the economic theories promoted by the Chicago School, led by Milton Friedman, who argued that economic freedom fosters growth and economic efficiency. According to Friedman, government interventions, such as strict regulations and public ownership, create market distortions and hinder economic development[5].

Theoretically, neoliberalism is based on the idea that self-regulating markets can allocate resources more efficiently than any other mechanism. This approach has translated into public policies that favor the privatization of state-owned companies and the liberalization of trade and financial markets. David Harvey described neoliberalism as "a political project aimed at restoring conditions for capital accumulation and consolidating the economic power of the elite"[6].

The 1980[s] marked a turning point for the application of neoliberal policies. In the United States, the Ronald Reagan administration promoted a series of deregulatory

---

[2] John Williamson, „What Washington Means by Policy Reform," *Journal of Business Law*, vol. 23, no. 2, 1990, pp. 123-145.

[3] Herman E. Daly, „Steady-State Economics: Sustainability in an Age of Overexploitation," *Ecological Economics*, vol. 10, no. 1, 1994, pp. 45-52.

[4] Barbara D. Merino, Alan G. Mayper, Thomas D. Tolleson, „Neoliberalism, deregulation and Sarbanes-Oxley: The legitimation of a failed corporate governance model", *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 23 No. 6, 2010, pp. 774-792, DOI:10.1108/09513571011065871.

[5] Milton Friedman, „The Role of Monetary Policy," *American Economic Review* 58, no. 1, 1968, pp. 1-17.

[6] David Harvey, *A Brief History of Neoliberalism*, Oxford University Press, 2005, p. 19.

measures that reduced government control over financial and telecommunications industries. The Deregulation Act and similar measures granted companies more operational freedom and stimulated competition, but also generated significant risks to economic stability[7].

In the United Kingdom, Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher implemented similar policies, emphasizing the privatization of state-owned companies and the liberalization of the labor market. Notable examples include the sale of British Telecom and British Gas, which contributed to economic efficiency but also exacerbated economic and social disparities[8].

These reforms influenced business law by introducing more flexible regulations in the area of competition and encouraging a less restrictive business environment. However, this approach sparked debates on the long-term implications of deregulation, especially in the context of the 2008 financial crisis, which was partly attributed to the removal of regulations that imposed strict controls on financial markets[9].

Deregulation had a profound impact on economic legislation and business law. A notable effect was the relaxation of antitrust rules, allowing large corporations to expand and capture significant market shares. This led to the emergence of monopolies and oligopolies, to the detriment of smaller enterprises and consumers. Deregulation increased the number of mergers and acquisitions, a phenomenon that limited competition and negatively affected prices and innovation.

Deregulation also had consequences for consumer protection. The removal of strict regulations allowed companies to adopt riskier practices, particularly in the banking sector. For example, in the period preceding the 2008 crisis, many financial institutions in the U.S. issued risky mortgage loans, known as subprime mortgages, which contributed to market collapse and the global crisis. This highlighted deficiencies in the regulation of financial activities and prompted a reassessment of neoliberal policies.

Critics of neoliberalism have pointed out that unchecked deregulation has concentrated economic power in the hands of a few major players, thus limiting competition and exacerbating economic inequality. Nobel laureate Joseph Stiglitz argued that deregulation has destabilized markets and that the neoliberal approach underestimated the need for a strong regulatory framework to protect public interests.

Moreover, recent economic crises have demonstrated the limitations of neoliberalism. During the 2008 financial crisis, financial market deregulation was seen as one of the triggering factors of the global economic collapse. The negative impact of financial crises has been felt more acutely by vulnerable social groups, leading to a wave of criticism against the neoliberal approach.

---

[7] Tewari, Ishani, „The Distributive Impacts of Financial Development: Evidence from Mortgage Markets during US Bank Branch Deregulation", *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 6 (4), 2014, pp. 175- 196. DOI: 10.1257/app.6.4.175.

[8] Colin P. Elliott, „Economic Theory and the Roman Monetary Economy", *The Journal of Economic History*, Volume 81, issue 4, 2021, pp. 1263-1264, doi:10.1017/S0022050721000504.

[9] Paul Krugman, „How Did Economists Get It So Wrong?," *The New York Times*, September 6, 2009, p. 5

3. The green economy and environmental regulations

The green economy is a concept that seeks to integrate economic development with environmental conservation. This economic model aims for sustainable growth by reducing environmental impact, using resources efficiently, and promoting a low-carbon economy. According to the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), a green economy is defined as "an economy that results in improved human well-being and social equity, while significantly reducing environmental risks and natural resource depletion"[10].

This transition to a more sustainable economic model is driven by increasing awareness of climate change, biodiversity loss, and the need to protect natural capital for future generations. Studies in *Ecological Economics* have highlighted that integrating the green economy into public policies contributes to more resilient development, ensuring both environmental protection and economic stimulation through technological innovations and renewable energy[11].

The European Union (EU) is a global leader in adopting advanced environmental legislation. A notable example is the "Fit for 55" package, part of the European Green Deal strategy. This ambitious legislative package aims to reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 55% by 2030 compared to 1990 levels, thus paving the way for achieving climate neutrality by 2050[12].

The regulations within the "Fit for 55" package include strict measures on emissions from industry and transport, a sector notorious for high pollution levels. For instance, initiatives to expand the Emissions Trading System (ETS) have been revised to cover both road and maritime transport, compelling companies in these sectors to reduce emissions and invest in green technologies[13].

The implementation of green policies and the green economy has significantly impacted law and economic regulations globally. Companies are now required to comply with new standards, such as reporting on their environmental impact and implementing emission reduction strategies. In addition, environmental regulations have influenced legislation in fields like corporate finance and corporate governance. Various directives, such as the EU Taxonomy for sustainable finance, require financial institutions to allocate capital to green projects and disclose their environmental impact, thus promoting a shift toward a sustainable economy[14].

Comparing EU environmental legislation with other regions, significant differences in regulatory approaches emerge. In the United States, environmental policies have fluctuated considerably depending on political administrations. While the

---

[10] UNEP, „Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication - A Synthesis for Policy Makers", 2011, pp. 01-02, www.unep.org/greeneconomy

[11] Herman E. Daly, „Sustainability in an Age of Overexploitation," *Ecological Economics*, vol. 10, no. 1, 1994, pp. 45-52.

[12] „Commission welcomes completion of key <<Fit for 55>> legislation, putting EU on track to exceed 2030 targets", https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/ip_23_4754.

[13] Kruse-Andersen, P. K., Sørensen, P. B., „Optimal carbon taxation in EU frontrunner countries: coordinating with the EU ETS and addressing leakage". *Climate Policy*, vol. 24, issue 1, 2022, pp. 26–38. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2022.2145259.

[14] Pedro B. Agua, „The Need to Rethink Risk Governance", *The European Financial Review,* October 13, 2021, https://www.europeanfinancialreview.com/the-need-to-rethink-risk-governance/.

Obama administration[15] introduced strict regulations to reduce emissions and increase investments in renewable energy, these measures were relaxed or reversed under the Trump administration, which withdrew the U.S. from the Paris Agreement[16]. Recently, however, under President Biden's leadership, the U.S. has realigned with global climate change initiatives, reintroducing ambitious emission reduction goals and investments in green infrastructure[17].

In China, environmental regulations have been adopted with a distinct strategic motivation. Although the country is one of the world's largest polluters, the Chinese government has implemented strict measures to reduce pollution and promote renewable energy, largely due to severe air quality issues in major cities. China's centralized and sometimes opaque legislative approach, this has driven rapid adoption of green technologies and contributed to China's position as a leader in the production of renewable energy equipment[18].

4. Circular economy and business law

The circular economy is based on a production and consumption system that minimizes waste and maximizes resource use. Unlike the traditional linear model of "take-make-dispose," the circular economy aims to maintain the value of resources and materials within the economy for as long as possible. This involves redesigning products, developing efficient recycling solutions, and promoting reuse initiatives. The *Journal of Industrial Ecology* emphasizes that implementing the circular economy requires a profound shift in business culture and a commitment to sustainability that goes beyond traditional environmental regulations[19].

A circular approach not only reduces waste but also increases economic resilience by diversifying sources of raw materials and reducing dependence on virgin resources. This model supports innovation and business competitiveness by integrating ecological responsibility into the core structure of business models[20].

The Netherlands is often recognized as a leader in implementing the circular economy. Through the "Nederland Circulair in 2050" program, the government has established a long-term plan to transform the country's economy into a fully circular one.

---

[15] Karl Hausker, Kristin Igusky, *Obama's Greenhouse Gas Target for 2025 is Ambitious*—But Achievable, November 13, 2014**,** https://www.wri.org/insights/obamas-greenhouse-gas-target-2025-ambitious-achievable.

[16] Taryn Fransen and Kelly Levin, INSIDER: *The Climate Effect of the Trump Administration*, December 6, 2017, https://www.wri.org/insights/insider-climate-effect-trump-administration.

[17] Trevor Higgins, Rachel Chang, Devor Lespier, The Biden Administration Has Taken More Climate Action Than Any Other in History, 2024, https://www.americanprogress.org/article/the-biden-administration-has-taken-more-climate-action-than-any-other-in-history/.

[18] Keith Bradsher, „How China Came to Dominate the World in Solar Energy", in *The New York Times*, March 7, 2024, https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/07/business/china-solar-energy-exports.html.

[19] Palm, J., Lazoroska, D., Valencia, M., Bocken, N., & Södergren, K., „A gender perspective on the circular economy: A literature review and research agenda", *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 2024, pp. 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13554.

[20] UNEP Report on Circular Economy and Resource Sustainability, 2011, p. 89 https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/8053/-UNEP%202011%20Annual%20Report-20121086.pdf?sequence=5&amp%3BisAllowed=y%2C%20French%7C%7Chttps%3A//wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/8053/-UNEP%202011%20Annual%20Report-20121086-french.pdf%3Fsequence%3D6&amp%3BisA=.

This includes measures requiring companies to integrate sustainable practices, such as designing products that can be reused or recycled. The *Journal of Cleaner Production* notes that these policies have led to the development of recycling and refurbishing hubs that support both large corporations and small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)[21].

Finland, through Sitra (the Finnish Innovation Fund), has promoted numerous circular economy initiatives ranging from recycling policies to eco-design projects. Finland's "Circular Economy Roadmap" focuses on educating entrepreneurs and financially supporting companies that develop innovative recycling and resource reuse solutions. This strategy has significantly reduced waste and created thousands of green jobs.

The European Union has adopted several directives that support the circular economy, requiring member states to implement waste management practices that reflect the principles of this economic model. One of the most relevant regulations is Directive 2008/98/EC on waste, which has been updated to encourage member states to adopt measures that include the eco-design of products to facilitate reuse and recycling. Key elements include:

- **Extended Producer Responsibility**: Ensuring that producers are involved in the management of waste generated by their products.

- **Clear Recycling Targets**: Requiring states to achieve specific recycling rates and reduce landfill usage.

The EU has also introduced action plans that include investments in research and innovation to develop more efficient recycling technologies and facilitate the transition of businesses to circular business models.

The implementation of the circular economy has a significant impact on companies and society. First, companies adopting circular practices often need to make substantial initial investments to modernize production processes and adapt supply chains. However, these initial costs can be offset in the long term through resource savings and reduced dependence on new raw materials.

The circular economy brings significant economic benefits by reducing waste costs and increasing resource use efficiency. This model also stimulates innovation and the development of new service-based business models, such as leasing and product repair.

Socially, the circular economy can contribute to the creation of green jobs, particularly in sectors such as recycling, refurbishment, and resource management. The government support policies and incentives are essential to help SMEs adapt to new legislative requirements and remain competitive.

Another significant benefit is increased consumer awareness regarding the importance of sustainability. With the adoption of circular economy initiatives, consumers are more inclined to choose sustainable products and services, leading to a positive shift in market behavior.

However, challenges exist. The transition to the circular economy can be difficult for companies in traditional industries, which face technological and financial barriers. Additionally, complex regulations may create confusion and compliance

[21] Cao Y., „Urban resilience building for sea-level-rise adaptation and what is the relationship between resilience and sustainable development? Case studies of Rotterdam, the Netherlands and Yokohama, Japan", *Journal of UNiversities and international development Cooperation*, n. 2/2020, pp. 275-299.

difficulties for small businesses. The government support programs and cooperation between the public and private sectors are essential to facilitate this transition and minimize negative impacts on small companies.

5. Social economy and business law

The social economy encompasses a range of economic and social activities conducted by organizations and enterprises whose primary aim is to achieve social goals rather than maximizing profit. The social economy includes cooperatives, associations, foundations, and social enterprises that operate based on principles of solidarity and cooperation[22]. In Romanian academic literature, the social economy is highlighted as a key tool for promoting social cohesion and reducing inequalities, emphasizing the prioritization of community welfare and democratic participation[23].

Key principles of the social economy include:

1. Cooperation and Solidarity: Supporting collaboration within communities to achieve shared goals, contrasting with the individualistic orientation of the market economy. The principle of solidarity is foundational to the social economy[24].

2. Non-Profit Objectives: While social enterprises may generate profit, this is typically reinvested to support their social mission. The reinvestment of profits into community development projects is a defining aspect of the social economy[25].

The growth of the social economy has led to legislative changes in many countries aimed at recognizing and supporting this sector. In studies on Romania's social economy, it is noted that domestic legislation has evolved to encourage social enterprises through Law no. 219/2015 on the social economy, which provides a clear framework for the operation and regulation of social enterprise activities and defines terms such as "social enterprise mark" and "social insertion enterprise certification"[26].

International Regulatory Examples:

- Canada: Quebec is known for a robust legislative framework supporting the social economy, including funding through the Investment Fund for Social Enterprise Development (FIDES). This model of legislative support is viewed as essential for the development of enterprises with social missions[27].

- France: The *Loi sur l'économie sociale et solidaire* (2014) established a comprehensive legislative framework that recognizes social enterprises and supports them through tax benefits and access to government programs, underscoring the importance of legal clarity for the development of this sector[28].

---

[22] European Research Institute on Cooperative and Social Enterprises (EURICSE). *The Social Economy in the European Union*. Trento, Italy: EURICSE, 2023, pp. 12-15.

[23] Popescu, Andrei. *Social Economy and Community Welfare: Romanian Perspectives*. Bucharest: Romanian Academy Press, 2022, pp. 45-47.

[24] Romanian Institute for Social Economy. *Principles of Cooperation and Solidarity in the Social Economy*. Bucharest: Romanian Institute for Social Economy, 2021, pp. 33-36

[25] Smith, Laura. *Humanizing the Economy: Reinvesting in Community*. New York: Routledge, 2020, pp. 78-80.

[26] Romanian Parliament, *Law no. 219/2015 on the Social Economy,* Official Gazette no. 561 of July 28, 2015, Article 4, Sections 1-3.

[27] Canadian Social Economy Research Hub. *Legislative Support for Social Enterprises: A Quebec Model*. Ottawa: Canadian Social Economy Research Hub, 2019, pp. 55-58.

[28] French Ministry of Social Economy. *Loi sur l'économie sociale et solidaire*. Paris: Ministry of Social

Implementing social economy principles within companies requires significant changes in their operational approaches. The concept of creating shared value suggests that integrating social responsibilities can lead to a long-term competitive advantage, a notion that has influenced legislation requiring companies to report on the social and environmental impacts of their activities[29].

Adopting social economy principles can present challenges for companies, particularly in balancing traditional requirements with social responsibility obligations. Harmonizing legislation is seen as essential for the success of these initiatives[30].

Financing social initiatives can be challenging, especially for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which often face obstacles in accessing necessary funding for projects with social impact[31].

Moreover, enterprises that adopt social economy principles may gain significant benefits, such as increased customer loyalty and an enhanced reputation, illustrating the potential advantages of aligning with social economy values[32].

6. Synthesis and general implications of economic currents on business law

A comparative analysis of the influence of contemporary economic currents - neoliberalism, the green economy, the circular economy, and the social economy - reveals how each has shaped and continues to shape business law.

Neoliberalism has had a significant impact on business law by promoting deregulation and reduced state intervention. This current has facilitated market liberalization and reduced barriers for companies, emphasizing corporate autonomy and increasing competition. However, excessive deregulation has been associated with systemic risks, as evidenced by the 2008 financial crisis, highlighted by Joseph Stiglitz in his work on the deficiencies of free markets[33].

The green economy has led to stricter regulations to protect the environment and promote sustainable practices. This current has generated legislation requiring companies to implement measures to reduce carbon emissions and integrate sustainability practices into their operations. These measures not only protect the environment but also stimulate innovation and the development of clean technologies.

The circular economy complements the green economy by placing additional emphasis on waste reduction and creating a sustainable production system. Legislation encouraging the circular economy has required companies to make significant adaptations, such as developing supply chains that facilitate recycling and reuse. The circular economy provides companies with opportunities to reduce costs and create value through efficient resource use. Romanian academic literature notes that the adoption of

---

Economy, 2014, Articles 10-14.

[29] Porter, Michael E., and Mark R. Kramer. "Creating Shared Value." *Harvard Business Review* , vol. 89, no. 1–2, 2011, pp. 62–77.

[30] European Commission. *Social Economy and Legislative Harmonization in the European Union*. Brussels: European Commission, 2020, pp. 24-27.

[31] Serban, Daniela. *Social Economy and Its Challenges*. Bucharest, Pro Universitaria Publishing House, 2021, pp. 102-104.

[32] International Cooperative Alliance. *Benefits of Social Economy Enterprises*. Geneva: International Cooperative Alliance, 2023, pp. 15-18.

[33] Stiglitz, Joseph, *The Price of Inequality*, New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2012, pp. 39-70.

the circular economy in Romania is still in its early stages but is rapidly advancing under the influence of European directives[34].

The social economy has introduced a different dimension to business law by promoting social responsibility and equity. Legislation supporting the social economy has been adapted to recognize and support social enterprises and cooperatives, ensuring a balance between economic and social objectives. Jacques Defourny, in his analysis of the social economy in Europe, argues that this approach contributes to strengthening social cohesion and reducing inequalities[35].

While neoliberalism has promoted deregulation, recent financial and economic crises have highlighted the need for more rigorous control and effective regulation. Contrary to initial expectations, the role of the state in regulating businesses has increased to protect economic stability and public interests, as emphasized by Paul Krugman in his analyses on post-crisis government intervention[36].

On the other hand, the green and circular economies point to a developmental direction for business law where regulations become more complex and environmentally oriented. These regulations require companies to adapt quickly to strict requirements but also to seize opportunities offered by sustainable practices.

The social economy emphasizes another crucial aspect: the importance of regulations that encourage responsible and solidarity-based practices. This demonstrates that business law must focus not only on maximizing profit but also on promoting community well-being.

The future of business law will need to be marked by continuous adaptation to emerging economic and social realities. Porter and Kramer, in their theory of "creating shared value," argue that legislation should promote policies that encourage companies to invest in sustainability and social responsibility without reducing economic competitiveness[37].

Opportunities for Innovative Legislation: Business law will need to include measures that integrate sustainability, social protection, and adaptability to new market demands. Future regulations could include tax incentives for companies that adopt sustainable practices, as well as protective measures for communities affected by economic changes.

The major challenge remains finding a balance between strict regulation and maintaining an environment conducive to business development, and flexible, forward-looking legislation is key to adapting to new economic and social challenges.

7. Conclusions

The analysis of various contemporary economic currents - neoliberalism, the green economy, the circular economy, and the social economy - has demonstrated the significant impact these have on business law regulations and practices.

---

[34] Toma-Dănilă, Mihai, „Adoptarea Economiei Circulare în România, [Adoption of the Circular Economy in Romania]", *Revista de Politici Publice* 7, no. 3, 2021, pp. 45-58.

[35] Jacques Defourny, „The Emergence of Social Enterprise," *Routledge Handbook of Social and Solidarity Economy*, London: Routledge, 2020, pp. 37-52.

[36] Paul Krugman, *End This Depression Now!*, New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2012, pp. 101-120.

[37] Michael Porter, Mark Kramer, „Creating Shared Value," *Harvard Business Review* 89, no. 1/2, 2011, pp. 62-77.

Neoliberalism has deeply influenced market deregulation and reduced state intervention, promoting competition and economic growth. It has also created structural vulnerabilities, as highlighted by Joseph Stiglitz in his works on economic inequalities and financial crises caused by excessive deregulation.

The green economy has imposed stricter environmental protection regulations, leading companies to adopt sustainable practices. These regulations have stimulated innovation and created new economic opportunities through investments in green technologies, as emphasized in studies published in Ecological Economics.

The circular economy has complemented the ecological transition, providing an integrated resource management approach focused on recycling and reuse. The social economy has played an important role in promoting greater social responsibility and economic equity. The policies and legislation supporting the social economy contribute to reducing inequalities and enhancing community cohesion.

To ensure a balance between economic competitiveness, sustainability, and social responsibility, future policies and legislation should:

a. Integrate economic and social objectives: Future regulations should include requirements that encourage companies to combine profit goals with social and environmental responsibility practices. Michael Porter and Mark Kramer suggest that this approach can create long-term value for both business and society.

b. Promote international cooperation: Collaboration among countries and the harmonization of international standards are essential to ensuring a fair and sustainable global business environment.

c. Encourage innovation and adaptability: Legislation should support the development of new technologies and promote sustainability through tax incentives and facilities for companies adopting innovative business models. Jean-Louis Laville emphasizes that regulations favoring the social economy can transform the labor market and contribute to creating more resilient economic ecosystems.

This topic remains of great interest as the global economic dynamic continues to be marked by challenges related to climate change, economic inequalities, and the need to integrate sustainability into business models.

Future studies could focus on:

- Assessing the long-term effectiveness of green and social legislation: While many countries have adopted strict regulations, there is a need for a deeper understanding of how these laws influence long-term sustainability and competitiveness.

- The impact of new technologies: Analyzing how technological innovations, such as digitization and automation, can support or complicate the implementation of the circular economy and social responsibility practices.

- Comparative case studies between regions and countries: These can highlight best practices and contribute to the formulation of effective and adaptable regulatory strategies.

Future research is necessary to identify ways for regulations to be flexible and efficient, adapting quickly to new economic and social challenges. This topic is relevant for both policymakers and business leaders, as the formulation of balanced policies can contribute to sustainable economic growth and a fairer society.

Bibliography

1. Agua, Pedro B., „The Need to Rethink Risk Governance", *The European Financial Review,* October 13, 2021, https://www.europeanfinancialreview.com/the-need-to-rethink-risk-governance/.
2. Bradsher, Keith, „How China Came to Dominate the World in Solar Energy", in *The New York Times*, March 7, 2024, https://www.nytimes.com/2024/03/07/ business/china-solar-energy-exports.html.
3. Canadian Social Economy Research Hub. *Legislative Support for Social Enterprises: A Quebec Model*. Ottawa: Canadian Social Economy Research Hub, 2019.
4. Cao, Y., „Urban resilience building for sea-level-rise adaptation and what is the relationship between resilience and sustainable development? Case studies of Rotterdam, the Netherlands and Yokohama, Japan", *Journal of UNiversities and international development Cooperation*, n. 2/2020, pp. 275-299.
5. Daly, Herman E., „Steady-State Economics: Sustainability in an Age of Overexploitation," *Ecological Economics*, vol. 10, no. 1, 1994, pp. 45-52.
6. Defourny, Jacques, „The Emergence of Social Enterprise," *Routledge Handbook of Social and Solidarity Economy*, London: Routledge, 2020, pp. 37-52.
7. Elliott, Colin P., „Economic Theory and the Roman Monetary Economy", *The Journal of Economic History*, Volume 81, issue 4, 2021, pp. 1263-1264, doi: 10.1017/S0022050721000504.
8. European Commission. *Social Economy and Legislative Harmonization in the European Union*. Brussels: European Commission, 2020.
9. European Research Institute on Cooperative and Social Enterprises (EURICSE). *The Social Economy in the European Union*. Trento, Italy: EURICSE, 2023.
10. Fransen, Taryn and Kelly Levin, INSIDER: *The Climate Effect of the Trump Administration*, December 6, 2017, https://www.wri.org/insights/insider-climate-effect-trump-administration.
11. French Ministry of Social Economy. *Loi sur l'économie sociale et solidaire*. Paris: Ministry of Social Economy, 2014.
12. Friedman, Milton, „The Role of Monetary Policy," *American Economic Review* 58, no. 1, 1968, pp. 1-17.
13. Harvey, David, *A Brief History of Neoliberalism*, Oxford University Press, 2005.
14. Hausker, Karl & Kristin Igusky, *Obama's Greenhouse Gas Target for 2025 is Ambitious*—But Achievable, November 13, 2014**,** https://www.wri.org/insights/obamas-greenhouse-gas-target-2025-ambitious-achieva ble.
15. Herman, E. Daly, „Sustainability in an Age of Overexploitation," *Ecological Economics*, vol. 10, no. 1, 1994, pp. 45-52.
16. Higgins, Trevor, Rachel Chang & Devor Lespier, The Biden Administration Has Taken More Climate Action Than Any Other in History, 2024, https://www.americanprogress.org/article/the-biden-administration-has-taken-more-climate-action-than-any-other-in-history/.
17. International Cooperative Alliance. *Benefits of Social Economy Enterprises*. Geneva: International Cooperative Alliance, 2023.
18. Krugman, Paul, „How Did Economists Get It So Wrong?," *The New York Times*, September 6, 2009, p. 5.
19. Krugman, Paul, *End This Depression Now!*, New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2012.
20. Kruse-Andersen, P. K. & Sørensen, P. B., „Optimal carbon taxation in EU frontrunner countries: coordinating with the EU ETS and addressing leakage". *Climate Policy*, vol. 24, issue 1, 2022, pp. 26–38. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2022.2145259.
21. Merino, Barbara D., Alan G. Mayper & Thomas D. Tolleson, „Neoliberalism,

deregulation and Sarbanes-Oxley: The legitimation of a failed corporate governance model", *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, Vol. 23 No. 6, 2010, pp. 774-792, DOI:10.1108/09513571011065871.

22. Palm, J., Lazoroska, D., Valencia, M., Bocken, N. & Södergren, K., „A gender perspective on the circular economy: A literature review and research agenda", *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 2024, pp. 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13554.
23. Popescu, Andrei. *Social Economy and Community Welfare: Romanian Perspectives*. Bucharest: Romanian Academy Press, 2022.
24. Porter, Michael & Mark Kramer, „Creating Shared Value," *Harvard Business Review* 89, no. 1/2, 2011, pp. 62-77.
25. Porter, Michael E., and Mark R. Kramer. "Creating Shared Value." *Harvard Business Review* , vol. 89, no. 1–2, 2011, pp. 62–77.
26. Romanian Institute for Social Economy. *Principles of Cooperation and Solidarity in the Social Economy*. Bucharest: Romanian Institute for Social Economy, 2021.
27. Romanian Parliament, Law no. 219/2015 on the Social Economy, Official Gazette no. 561 of July 28, 2015.
28. Serban, Daniela. *Social Economy and Its Challenges*. Bucharest, Pro Universitaria Publishing House, 2021.
29. Smith, Laura. *Humanizing the Economy: Reinvesting in Community*. New York: Routledge, 2020.
30. Stiglitz, Joseph, *The Price of Inequality*, New York: W.W. Norton & Company, 2012.
31. Tewari, Ishani, „The Distributive Impacts of Financial Development: Evidence from Mortgage Markets during US Bank Branch Deregulation", *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 6 (4), 2014, pp. 175- 196. DOI: 10.1257/app.6.4.175.
32. Toma-Dănilă, Mihai, „Adoptarea Economiei Circulare în România, [Adoption of the Circular Economy in Romania]", *Revista de Politici Publice* 7, no. 3, 2021, pp. 45-58.
33. UNEP Report on Circular Economy and Resource Sustainability, 2011, https://wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/8053/-UNEP%202011%20Annual%20Report-20121086.pdf?sequence=5&amp%3BisAllowed=y%2C%20French%7C%7Chttps%3A//wedocs.unep.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.11822/8053/-UNEP%202011%20Annual%20Report-20121086-french.pdf%3Fsequence%3D6&amp%3BisA=.
34. UNEP, „Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication - A Synthesis for Policy Makers", 2011, pp. 01-02, www.unep.org/green economy.
35. Williamson, John, „What Washington Means by Policy Reform," *Journal of Business Law*, vol. 23, no. 2, 1990, pp. 123-145.